

pl

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/669,594	09/26/2000	Prasad Raje	004426.P001	5001
Blakley Sokoloff Taylor & Zafman LLP 12400 Wilshire Boulevard Seventh Floor			EXAMINER	
			BASHORE, WILLIAM L	
Los Angeles, C	A 90025		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 2176	
				
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
31 D	PAYS	04/17/2007	PAPFR	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		09/669,594	RAJE, PRASAD			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
·		William L. Bashore	2176	-		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	appears on the cover sheet with	h the correspondence address			
WHIC - Exte afte - If NO - Failt Any	IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RECHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by some perior of the provision of the prov	G DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re n. eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON tatute, cause the application to become AB.	ATION. ply be timely filed "HS from the mailing date of this communication in the mailing date of the mailing date of this communication in the mailing date of this communication in the mailing date of	-		
Status						
1)[\]	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>0</u>	11 February 2007.				
2a)□		This action is non-final.				
3)						
٠,٠	closed in accordance with the practice und	•	·			
Disposit	ion of Claims					
4)⊠	Claim(s) 126-167 is/are pending in the app	lication.				
,—	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with		•			
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.		•			
6)	Claim(s) is/are rejected.	•				
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)⊠	Claim(s) <u>126-167</u> are subject to restriction	and/or election requirement.	·			
Applicat	ion Papers					
_	The specification is objected to by the Exan	niner				
	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)		w the Evaminer			
ا ا	Applicant may not request that any objection to	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•			
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co			(d)		
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	•		(u).		
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	eian priority under 35 U.S.C. &	119(a)-(d) or (f)			
	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	sign priority under oo c.c.c. 3	110(a) (a) 51 (1).			
۵,	1. Certified copies of the priority docum	ents have been received				
	2. Certified copies of the priority docum		unlication No			
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the	· ·				
	application from the International Bu		Coolives in this National Stage			
* (See the attached detailed Office action for a	• "	eceived.			
	•	•				
Attachmen	t(s)		•			
_	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview S	ummary (PTO-413)			
	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)	/Mail Date			
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of In 6) Other:	formal Patent Application			

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims 126-154, drawn to Document Processing/Form Creation, classified in class 715, subclass 506.
 - II. Claims 155-167, drawn to Master-Slave Computer Controlling, classified in class 709, subclass208.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different designs, modes of operation, and effects (MPEP § 802.01 and § 806.06). In the instant case, the different inventions are as follows:

3. Invention I is substantially directed to processing of forms, which is drawn to Document Processing/Form Creation.

Invention II is substantially directed to allowing a user to remotely configure a first program's functions at a second location, which is drawn to Master-Slave Computer Controlling.

4. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art due to their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Application/Control Number: 09/669,594

Art Unit: 2176

- 5. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.
- 6. The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.
- 7. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.
- 8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to William L. Bashore whose telephone number is (571) 272-4088. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00 am 5:30 pm EST.
- 9. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Heather Herndon can be reached on (571) 272-4136. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2176

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

WILLIAM BASHORE PRIMARY EXAMINER

April 14, 2007